Focus week on string cosmology October 7, 2010

Effects of Light Fields During Inflation Takeshi Kobayashi (Tokyo U.)

based on:

TK & Shinji Mukohyama Phys.Rev.D 81, 103504 (2010)

Light Fields During Inflation

- Additional light fields often show up in microscopic descriptions of cosmic inflation, especially for large-field models.
- They may have negligible influences on the inflaton dynamics, but can still produce significant curvature perturbations during inflaton.
- We study under which conditions their effects become significant.
- Results are applied to monodromy-driven D-brane inflation models, where the wrapped brane's oscillation modes can become light.

Inflaton Lagrangian Corrected by Tiny Modulus Corrections

 ϕ : inflaton

 $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2$

 $-V(\phi)$

Inflaton Lagrangian Corrected by Tiny Modulus Corrections

 ϕ : inflaton σ : modulus

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 \left(1 - f \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m} \phi^m} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \sigma)^2$$
$$-V(\phi) \left(1 + g \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m} \phi^m} \right)$$

from e.g., nonminimal Kähler potentials, fields nonminimally coupled to gravity, monodromy-driven D-brane inflation models

Curvature Perturbations

 $\delta \mathcal{N} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \cdots$



Curvature Perturbations

$$\delta \mathcal{N} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \cdots$$



Curvature Perturbations

$$\delta \mathcal{N} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial \sigma} \delta \sigma + \cdots$$



 $\frac{\delta \mathcal{N}}{\delta \sigma}$ becomes dominant over $\frac{\delta \mathcal{N}}{\delta \phi}$ when conversion is sufficient

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 \left(1 - f \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m}\phi^m}\right) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\sigma)^2 - V(\phi) \left(1 + g \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m}\phi^m}\right)$$

ex.) $V(\phi) \propto \phi^n$

 $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-q}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 \left(1 - f \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m}\phi^m}\right) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\sigma)^2 - V(\phi) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m}\phi^m}\right)$

positive mass²



 $\int \delta \sigma \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{N} / \partial \sigma}{\partial \mathcal{N} / \partial \phi} \sim \frac{\sigma}{\phi}$

 ϕ_{end}

 $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-q}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 \left(1 - f \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m} \phi^m} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \sigma)^2 - V(\phi) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m} \phi^m} \right)$

positive mass²





curvature pert. from σ negligible unless $\sigma \gtrsim \phi$



 $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-q}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 \left(1 - f \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m}\phi^m}\right) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\sigma)^2 - V(\phi) \left(1 + g \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m}\phi^m}\right)$

negative mass²





 $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-q}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 \left(1 - f \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m} \phi^m} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \sigma)^2 - V(\phi) \left(1 + g \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m} \phi^m} \right)$

negative mass²

 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}/\partial \sigma}{\partial \mathcal{N}/\partial \phi} \sim \frac{\sigma}{\phi} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm end}}{\sigma}\right)^2$

become dominant!

 δN from $\delta \sigma$ can



ex.) $V(\phi) \propto \phi^n$

Modulating the Kinetic Term



$\delta \mathcal{N}$ from $\delta \sigma$ can become dominant!

Results So Far

Curvature perturbations from modulus corrections become significant when:

- its effective mass becomes tachyonic
- mainly modulating the inflaton kinetic term

Silverstein, Westphal '08



wrapped D4

monodromy elongates wrapped cycle and yields large-field inf. $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-q}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial \phi)^2 - \mu^{10/3}\phi^{2/3}$

Silverstein, Westphal '08



wrapped D4

monodromy elongates wrapped cycle and yields large-field inf.

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \mu^{10/3} \phi^{2/3}$$

 \rightarrow oscillation modes can become light in the large-field limit



 ϕ : position of D4

 σ_n : oscillation modes

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2$$

 $-\mu^{10/3}\phi^{2/3}$



 ϕ : position of D4 σ_n : oscillation modes

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 \left(1 - \lambda^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} n^2 \frac{|\sigma_n|^2}{\phi^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \neq 0} (\partial \sigma_n) (\partial \overline{\sigma}_n) -\mu^{10/3} \phi^{2/3} \left(1 + \lambda^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} n^2 \frac{|\sigma_n|^2}{\phi^2} - \frac{1}{9} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\sigma_n|^2}{\phi^2} \right)$$

 $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 \left(1 - \lambda^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} n^2 \frac{|\sigma_n|^2}{\phi^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \neq 0} (\partial \sigma_n) (\partial \overline{\sigma}_n)$ $-\mu^{10/3} \phi^{2/3} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} n^2 \frac{|\sigma_n|^2}{\phi^2}}{\phi^2} - \frac{1}{9} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\sigma_n|^2}{\phi^2} \right)$ reduces σ 's effects amplifies σ 's effects, though only slightly

 $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 \left(1 - \lambda^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} n^2 \frac{|\sigma_n|^2}{\phi^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \neq 0} (\partial \sigma_n) (\partial \overline{\sigma}_n)$ $-\mu^{10/3} \phi^{2/3} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} n^2 \frac{|\sigma_n|^2}{\phi^2}}{\phi^2} - \frac{1}{9} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\sigma_n|^2}{\phi^2} \right)$ reduces σ 's effects, though only slightly

 \rightarrow oscillation modes can safely be ignored

ex.) warped D-brane inflation

Kachru, Kallosh, Linde, Maldacena, McAllister, Trivedi '03

warped throat region

D3

 ϕ : radial position of D3 σ : angular directions

- warping suppresses angular potentials
- periodic angular potentials contain both (local) minima and maxima
 → σ can become important
- full analysis with dynamical angular directions may be necessary

Summary

- Light fields can dominantly source curvature perturbations, while minimally affecting the inflaton dynamics.
- We studied under which conditions the light fields' effects become significant/negligible.
- Conversion of entropy to curvature perturbations can become significant when their effective masses are tachyonic, or when they mainly modulate the inflaton kinetic term.
- Can also work for beyond-slow-roll models, e.g. rapid-roll, DBI inflation.

Curvature Perturbations from σ $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-q}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 \left(1 - f \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m} \phi^m} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \sigma)^2 - V(\phi) \left(1 + g \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^{2-m} \phi^m} \right)$ $V(\phi) \propto \phi^n$ $\frac{\delta \mathcal{N}}{\delta \phi} \simeq \frac{\phi}{nM_p^2} \qquad \qquad \frac{\delta \mathcal{N}}{\delta \sigma} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m}{n} - \frac{f}{g}\right) \frac{\sigma}{M_p^2} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{\sigma_f}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right\}$ $\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}/\partial \sigma}{\partial \mathcal{N}/\partial \phi} \sim \frac{\sigma}{\phi} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{\sigma_f}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right\}$ $\mathcal{P}_{\zeta_{\sigma}} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial \sigma}\right)^2 \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \qquad n_s - 1 = \frac{\sigma_f^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma_f^2 - \sigma^2} 4g \frac{M_p^2}{\mu^{2-m}\phi^m} - n^2 \frac{M_p^2}{\phi^2}$ $f_{\rm NL} = \left(\frac{m}{n} - \frac{f}{g}\right)^{-1} \frac{M_p^2}{\sigma^2 - \sigma_f^2}$